

Ryan J. Villa  
5501 Eagle Rock Ave NE, Ste C2  
Albuquerque, NM 87113  
(505) 639-5709  
[ryan@rjvlawfirm.com](mailto:ryan@rjvlawfirm.com)

Andrea Lee Luem, PHV  
400 South Fourth Street, Ste 500  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
(702) 575-0481  
[andrea@luemlaw.com](mailto:andrea@luemlaw.com)

Attorneys for Defendant,  
**KENNETH JOHNSON**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

Criminal case No. 20-CR-238-JLT-SKO

**Plaintiff.**

V.

KENNETH JOHNSON,  
JUSTIN GRAY  
BRANDON BANNICK  
FRANCIS CLEMENT,  
WAYLON PITCHFORD,  
EVAN PERKINS,  
AND JOHN STINSON.

## JOINT STATUS REPORT

Date: October 16, 2024  
Time: 1:00 p.m.  
Place: Courtroom 7 (SKO)

## Defendants.

This case is set for an in-person status hearing on October 16, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. Pursuant to the Court's Order [Doc. 1289] of September 6, 2024, the parties were to submit this Joint Status Report regarding the Government's compliance with disclosure for the Motion for Disclosure of Grand Jury Schedule, Instructions, and Materials [Doc. 1122] filed by Mr. Gray and joined by Mr. Bannick, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Clement, Mr. Perkins, Mr. Weaver and Mr. Pitchford; and the Motion to Compel Specific Discovery [Doc. 1201] filed by Mr. Johnson and joined by Mr. Clement, Mr.

1 Weaver, Mr. Pitchford Mr. Perkins, and Mr. Stinson. In its Order, the Court ordered the  
2 Government to produce responsive materials by September 27, 2024.

3 With respect to the Motion for Grand jury Schedule, Instructions and Materials, pursuant  
4 to the Magistrate Judge's Order of September 6, 2024 [Doc. 1289], the government was directed  
5 to produce certain documents relating to the grand jury by September 27, 2024. A stipulation and  
6 request for a protective order was submitted on September 24, and the protective order was signed  
7 on September 26 [Doc. 1309]. On September 27, the government produced documents responsive  
8 to the Court's Order to the discovery coordinator.<sup>1</sup> Defense counsel have not yet had the  
9 opportunity to review the documents and cannot confirm that the production is correct and  
10 complete.

12 With respect to the Motion to Compel Specific Discovery, Mr. Johnson sought Rule 16  
13 discovery on certain predicate acts that are alleged in Count One of the Third Superseding  
14 Indictment. *See* Indictment, ¶ 20, pp. 7-9 [Doc. 1098]. Mr. Johnson identified seven predicate acts  
15 for which he contended no discovery had been produced. It appears, though, that the Government  
16 had earlier produced some discovery related to the predicate act charged in paragraph 20(o).  
17 However, counsel for Mr. Johnson maintains that the United States has produced only evidence  
18 related to one of these predicate acts, that being paragraph 20(e), in response to this Court's Order.  
19 In the United States' email of August 1, 2024, attached as Exhibit 1 to Mr. Johnson's Motion, the  
20 government indicated that predicate act paragraph 20(m) requires a protective order for the limited  
21  
22  
23  
24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> In the production, the government included two of the three U.S. Attorney's Office's  
26 generic letters, dated May 10, 2021 and October 20, 2022, to employers of the grand jurors  
27 selected to serve on the relevant grand juries. It was unable to locate the letter it issued for the  
relevant 2019 grand jury panel, but the government represents here that the 2019 letter was in  
sum and substance the same as the other two letters produced.

1 discovery that can go out in the coming weeks. Since that time, no proposed protective order has  
2 been provided.

3 It is the government's position that it has produced discovery for the offenses and  
4 predicates it intends to prove at trial in January, and that does not fall under the Jencks Act, in  
5 compliance with Rule 16 and this Court's Order [Doc. 1289]. To the extent the government  
6 receives additional discovery from state agencies, the government will produce it without delay in  
7 accordance with its discovery obligations and this Court's orders.  
8

9 Counsel for Mr. Gray and Mr. Bannick notes that Messrs. Gray and Bannick did not join  
10 the Motion to Compel.  
11

12 Dated: October 1, 2024 /s/ RYAN VILLA  
13 /s/ ANDREA L. LUEM  
14 ANDREA L. LUEM  
RYAN VILLA  
Counsel for Defendant  
KENNETH JOHNSON

15 Dated: October 1, 2024 /s/ JEAN D. BARRETT  
16 /s/ JANE FISHER-BYRIALSEN  
17 JEAN D. BARRETT  
JANE FISHER-BYRIALSEN  
Counsel for Defendant  
FRANCIS CLEMENT

18 Dated: October 1, 2024 /s/ AMY E. JACKS  
19 /s/ IVETTE A. MANINGO  
20 AMY E. JACKS  
IVETTE A. MANING  
Counsel for Defendant  
BRANDON BANNICK

21 Dated: October 1, 2024 /s/ JAMES S. THOMSON  
22 /s/ TIMOTHY FOLEY  
23 JAMES S. THOMSON  
TIMOTHY FOLEY  
Counsel for Defendant  
JUSTIN GRAY  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 Dated: October 1, 2024

/s/ OLIVER W. LOEWY  
/s/ EDWARD J. RYMSZA  
OLIVER W. LOEWY  
EDWARD J. RYMSZA  
Counsel for Defendant  
WAYLON PITCHFORD

4 Dated: October 1, 2024

/s/ RANDY SUE POLLOCK  
/s/ TAMARA CREPET  
RANDY SUE POLLOCK  
TAMARA CREPET  
Counsel for Defendant  
JAYSON WEAVER

8 Dated: October 1, 2024

/s/ THERESA M. DUNCAN  
/s/ CRISTINA BORDÉ  
THERESA M. DUNCAN  
CRISTINA BORDE  
Counsel for Defendant  
EVAN PERKINS

12 Dated: October 1, 2024

/s/ KENNETH ALAN REED  
KENNETH ALAN REED  
Counsel for Defendant  
JOHN STINSON

15 Dated: October 1, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
United States Attorney

/s/JAMES CONOLLY  
STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN  
JAMES CONOLLY  
Assistant United States Attorney